

Digital Token Identifier Foundation

Industry Views Sought on the Principles Underlying
the Cost Recovery Model for the Digital Token
Identifier Service

BVI

Consultation Paper Response Form

14 August 2024

Proposed Format for Responses

- Consultation responses should be emailed to secretariat@dtif.org
- Please fill in the below respondent details and submit this document with your responses.
- An option is provided for respondents to stipulate whether the response is to be treated as anonymous. Note that all responses are published on the DTI Foundation website and are not anonymised unless a specific request is made.
- Respondents are requested to state whether they concur with the assumptions and principles set out in the document, or propose alternate evidence driven considerations that they believe should be utilised instead and/or alongside the proposals set out in this paper.
- Respondents also can also provide any general comments in the final section of the response form provided at the end of this paper.
- The consultation enables the DTI Foundation to ensure that the cost-recovery model works to reflect the best target solution sought by industry (within the governance framework).
- Each organisation is permitted a single response.
- Responses should include details of the type of organisation responding to the consultation and its current user category to enable the DTI Foundation to analyse client needs in more detail and include anonymised statistics as part of any further report.
- Responses must be received by 6pm UTC on Friday 23rd August 2024.

Respondent details

Name	Rudolf Siebel
Email Address	Rudolf.siebel@bvi.de
Company	BVI German investment funds association
Country	Germany
Company Type	Trade Association
Select if response should be anonymous	<input type="checkbox"/>

Questions

Question 1a: Do you concur with the DTI fee model, based on DTI Allocation and real-time access to reference data with API Registry Access?

Yes, for the ease of operation given current application and usage requirements.

Question 1b: If not, what specific alternate approach do you recommend? Please provide a clear rationale and cite publicly available sources for any additional data points you believe should be incorporated into the DTI Foundation's model.

NA

Question 2a: Do you concur with the current simplified cost-recovery fee model?

Yes, for the ease of operation given current application and API usage requirements.

Question 2b: If not, what specific alternate approach do you recommend? Please provide a clear rationale and cite publicly available sources for any additional data points you believe should be incorporated into the DTI Foundation's model.

NA

Question 3a: Do you concur with the DTI assumptions for user base estimates set out above?

We concur with the DTI assumptions for user base estimates.

Question 3b: If not, what specific alternate approach do you recommend? Please provide a clear rationale and cite publicly available sources for any additional data points you believe should be incorporated into the DTI Foundation's assumptions.

NA

Question 4a: Do you concur with the DTI assumptions for volume estimates of DTI Allocation requests and API Registry Access, set out above?

We concur with the DTI assumptions for volume estimates of DTI Allocation requests and API Registry Access.

Question 4b: If not, what specific alternate approach do you recommend? Please provide a clear rationale and cite publicly available sources for any additional data points you believe should be incorporated into the DTI Foundation's assumptions.

Question 5: Do you have any further comments which the DTI Foundation should consider as part of this consultation on the cost-recovery model?

We have no further comments. We appreciate the full transparency on the underlying data and assumptions supporting the current simplified cost-recovery fee model.